

FEB. 2. 2010 11:21AM

HARRINGTON & SMITH

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 336 P. 1

FEB 02 2010

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/518,871 Confirmation No.: 5294

Applicant(s): Chipchase et al.

Filed: 01/23/2006

Art Unit: 2612

Examiner: Syed, Nabil H.

Title: Device for Directing the Operation of a User's Personal
Communication Apparatus

Attorney Docket No.: 800.0139.U1(US)

Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 11/18/2009 in
regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claims 42, 44, 45, 48-51, 55, 62-66, 68-71, 73, 74, and 77-80
were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable
over Lin (WO 01/50224) in view of Rankin (US 2002/0039909) and
Rodriguez et al. (US 6,650,761). Claims 46, 47, 75, 76, and
81 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable
over Lin (WO 01/50224) in view of Rankin (US 2002/0039909),
Rodriguez et al. (US 6,650,761), and Wischerop et al. (US
5,955,951). Claims 53 and 54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.
§103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (WO 01/50224) in view
of Rankin (US 2002/0039909), Rodriguez et al. (US 6,650,761),
Wischerop et al. (US 5,955,951), and Gallagher et al. (US
6,963,270). Claims 56, 59, and 61 were rejected under 35
U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (WO 01/50224) in